arXiv:1002.1941v4 [gr-qc] 24 Feb 2010

Construction of a renormalizable perturbative expansion for General Relativity

Marin Diego - dmarin.math@gmail.com -
Universitá di Trento (Italia), Dipartimento di Fisica e Gruppo Collegato INFN di Trento, Sezione di Padova

(Dated: February 24, 2010)

Every field theory, to have some hope of being renormalizable, has to be defined by a lagrangian which terms never exceed the mass dimensions $[mass]^4$. The dimension of a field is given by one half of four minus the number of derivatives in its kinetic term. This is strictly related to the mass dimensions of the coupling constant in the kinetic term. In particular, a theory has very few chances of being renormalizable if these dimensions are negative. This is what happens in General Relativity, where the coupling of the Hilbert-Einstein action has dimensions $[m]^{-2}$. We present here an equivalent formulation of the theory where the Hilbert-Einstein action doesn't contain kinetic terms, but only interaction terms. Moreover, a new kinetic term appears, which coupling has dimensions $[m]^0$. We suggest that maybe, in this formulation, General Relativity can be renormalized.

I. CONVENTIONS

We indicate with greek letters the space-time indices, and with latin letters the internal $SO(1,3)$ indices. We use dotted greek indices ($\dot\nu$) to indicate a raising or a lowering with the flat metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$, instead of the real metric $g_{\mu\nu} = e^a_\mu e^b_\nu \eta_{ab}$. We use hatted indices ($\hat a$) to indicate a contraction with δ^μ_a, for example $\partial_{\hat a} = \delta^\mu_a \partial_\mu$ and $B^{\hat\mu} = B^a \delta^\mu_a$.

II. INTRODUCTION

Despite the promising attempts [1], a complete formulation of a Quantum Theory of Gravity seems very far. Until now, String Theory [11] contains too much free parameters for doing confutable predictions. Otherwise, Loop Quantum Gravity [12] removes all the singularities, in the primordial universe and in the black holes. It naturally predicts an inflationary phase and its formalism seems able to consider transitions between two different topologies of universe, for example a transition from a spherical universe to a toroidal one. However, the calculations seem too hard for obtaining any scattering amplitude. In the bibliography can be found a series of discussions about several problems with which a Quantum Theory of Gravity must compare. In what follow we return to the standard treatment of a QFT, expanding the gravitational field around the flat space. Some simple tricks seem able to transform the General Relativity in a renormalizable theory. This is a completely new treatment. For this motive there isn't any reference.

General Relativity is defined in terms of a tetrad $e(x)_a = e^\mu_a \partial_\mu$, a family of vectors which transform in the fundamental representation of $SO(1,3)$. Without loss of generality, we choice $e(x)_a$ so that $e^{a\mu}\delta^b_\mu = e^{a\hat b} = e^{b\hat a} = e^{b\mu}\delta^a_\mu$. This means that the matrix e defined by e^μ_a is chosen symmetric. The action is

$$S_1 = \frac{M_P^2}{16\pi} \int \frac{d^4x}{det\, e}\; R^{ab}{}_{\mu\nu} e^\mu_a e^\nu_b \tag{1}$$

with

$$R^{ab}{}_{\mu\nu} = g\partial_{[\mu} B_{\nu]}{}^{ab} + g^2 B_{[\mu|}{}^a{}_k B_{|\nu]}{}^{kb}. \tag{2}$$

The spin-connection $B[e(x)]^a_{\ b} = B_\mu{}^a{}_b dx^\mu$ is a one-form with values in $so(1,3)$, compatible with the tetrad and torsion-free. This means (introducing the reverse tetrad e^a_μ)

$$\partial_{[\mu} e^a_{\nu]} + B_{[\mu|}{}^a{}_f e^f_{|\nu]} = 0.$$

Contracting with $e^\tau_a e^\mu_b e^\nu_d$, and applying $e^\nu_d \partial_\mu e^a_\nu = -e^a_\nu \partial_\mu e^\nu_d$, we obtain

$$T[e]_{db}{}^\nu = e^\mu_{[d|} \partial_\mu e^\nu_{|b]} + g B_\mu{}^l{}_{[d} e^\mu_{b]} e^\nu_l = 0. \tag{3}$$

The quantum theory is defined by the partition function

$$Z = c \int De\, e^{iS_1(T[e]=0,e)}. \tag{4}$$

We could think of rewriting it as

$$Z = c \int De\ DB\ D\mu\, e^{i[S_1(B,e)+\mu^{cd}{}_\nu T_{cd}{}^\nu]} \tag{5}$$

where, integrating the μ field, we obtain a Dirac's delta for the torsion T. The integration of B forces the torsion to be null in S_1. But this is incorrect because

$$\delta(T) \neq \delta(B - B[e])$$

and instead

$$\delta(T) = \delta(B - B[e]) \cdot det \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial B}\right)^{-1}_{B=B(e)}.$$

$M(x)^{-1} = det \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial B}\right)_{B=B(e)}$ is the determinant of the 24×24 matrix of the derivatives of the 24 components of T respect the 24 components of B, valued in $B = B(e)$. It is easy to see that M is a function only of the tetrad. We can define the new variables

$$\hat{e}^\mu_a = \int M(e)^{-1/10} de^\mu_a \qquad D\hat{e} = M(e)^{-1} De$$

and write

$$\frac{\delta S_1}{\delta \hat{e}^\mu_a} = \int d^4x \frac{\delta S_1}{\delta e^\nu_b} \frac{\delta e^\nu_b}{\delta \hat{e}^\mu_a} = \frac{\delta S_1}{\delta e^\nu_b} M(x)^{1/10} \delta^\nu_\mu \delta^a_b = \frac{\delta S_1}{\delta e^\mu_a} M(x)^{1/10}.$$

If $M(x) \neq 0$, unless a discrete number of points, then the motion equations for $\hat{e}$ are equivalent to the motion equations for e. We can then consider, as classical variables, the $\hat{e}$. So

$$Z = c \int D\hat{e}\, e^{iS_1(T[e]=0,e)} = c \int De\ DB\ D\mu\, e^{i[S_1(B,e)+\mu^{cd}{}_\nu T_{cd}{}^\nu]}. \tag{6}$$

Another modification without consequence can be obtained introducing a functional $f(T,e)$ with $f(0,e) = 0$

$$Z = c \int De\ DB\ D\mu\, e^{i[S_1(B,e)+\mu^{cd}{}_\nu T_{cd}{}^\nu + f(T)]} \tag{7}$$

and more

$$Z = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} c \int De\ DB\ D\mu\ D\tau\, e^{i[S_1(B,e)+\mu^{cd}{}_\nu T_{cd}{}^\nu + f(T) + i\epsilon(\partial_\mu \mu^{cd}{}_\nu)(\partial^{\dot{\mu}} \tau_{cd}{}^{\dot{\nu}}) + \epsilon^2 (\partial_\mu \tau^{cd}{}_\nu)(\partial^{\dot{\mu}} \tau_{cd}{}^{\dot{\nu}})]} \tag{8}$$

$$Z = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} c \int De\ DB\ D\mu\ D\tau\, e^{i[S_1(B,e)+\mu^{cd}{}_\nu T_{cd}{}^\nu + f(T) + \partial_\mu (\epsilon\tau + i\frac{\mu}{2})^{cd}{}_\nu \partial^{\dot{\mu}} (\epsilon\tau + i\frac{\mu}{2})_{cd}{}^{\dot{\nu}} + (\partial_\mu \frac{\mu^{cd}{}_\nu}{2})(\partial^{\dot{\mu}} \frac{\mu_{cd}{}^{\dot{\nu}}}{2})]}. \tag{9}$$

We perform the change of variables

$$\frac{\mu}{2} \to \mu$$

$$\epsilon\tau + i\frac{\mu}{2} \to \tau.$$

The determinant of the Jacobian is $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$, then

$$Z = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \prod_x \frac{2}{\epsilon} c \int De\ DB\ D\mu\ D\tau\, e^{i[S_1(B,e)+2\mu^{cd}{}_{\nu} T_{cd}{}^{\nu}+f(T)+(\partial_\mu \tau^{cd}{}_{\nu})(\partial^{\dot{\mu}} \tau_{cd}{}^{\dot{\nu}})+(\partial_\mu \mu^{cd}{}_{\nu})(\partial^{\dot{\mu}} \mu_{cd}{}^{\dot{\nu}})]}. \tag{10}$$

The Jacobian is independent of the fields and can be absorbed in c, so that we solve trivially the limit $\epsilon \to 0$. Moreover, the field τ is not interacting, and also the result of the gaussian integration can be absorbed in c.

$$Z = c \int De\ DB\ D\mu\, e^{i[S_1(B,e)+2\Sigma^2\mu^{cd}{}_{\nu} T_{cd}{}^{\nu}+f(T)+(\partial_\mu \mu^{cd}{}_{\nu})(\partial^{\dot{\mu}} \mu_{cd}{}^{\dot{\nu}})]} \tag{11}$$

We have here introduced a $mass^2$ scale, indicated with Σ^2, for having the correct units. Surely, we have to impose

$$\begin{aligned} a_B|phys> &= 0 \\ a_\mu|phys> &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where a_B and a_μ are the annihilation operators for B and μ, and $|phys>$ is any physical state. We'll see how, for a proper choice of the functional $f(T)$, the General Relativity has some chances to be renormalizable.

III. RICCI SCALAR

We write explicitly the Ricci scalar

$$2R = g[e^\nu_b e^\sigma_c \partial_\sigma B_\nu{}^{cb} - e^\nu_c e^\sigma_b \partial_\sigma B_\nu{}^{cb}] + g^2[e^\mu_c e^\nu_b B_\mu{}^{cf} B_{\nu f}{}^b - e^\mu_b e^\nu_c B_\mu{}^{cf} B_{\nu f}{}^b]$$

$$2R = 2g[e^\nu_b e^\sigma_c \partial_\sigma B_\nu{}^{cb}] + g^2[e^\mu_c e^\nu_b B_\mu{}^{cf} B_{\nu f}{}^b - e^\mu_b e^\nu_c B_\mu{}^{cf} B_{\nu f}{}^b].$$

Inserting the determinant

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2R}{det\, e} &= \frac{2g}{det\, e}[e^\nu_b e^\sigma_c \partial_\sigma B_\nu{}^{cb}] + \\ &\quad + \frac{g^2}{det\, e}[e^\mu_c e^\nu_b B_\mu{}^{cf} B_{\nu f}{}^b - e^\mu_b e^\nu_c B_\mu{}^{cf} B_{\nu f}{}^b] \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2R}{det\, e} &= -2gB_\nu{}^{cb}[\frac{1}{det\, e} e^\sigma_c \partial_\sigma e^\nu_b + \frac{1}{det\, e} e^\nu_b \partial_\sigma e^\sigma_c + e^\nu_b e^\sigma_c \partial_\sigma \frac{1}{det\, e}] + \\ &\quad + \frac{g^2}{det\, e}[e^\mu_c e^\nu_b B_\mu{}^{cf} B_{\nu f}{}^b - e^\nu_d e^\mu_b B_\mu{}^d{}_c B_\nu{}^{cb}] + \\ &\quad + \ total \ \ derivatives \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2R}{det\, e} &= \frac{2g}{det\, e} B_\nu{}^{bc}[e^\sigma_{[c|} \partial_\sigma e^\nu_{|b]} + gB_\mu{}^d{}_{[c} e^\mu_{b]} e^\nu_d] + \\ &\quad + 2gB_\nu{}^{bc}[\frac{1}{det\, e} e^\nu_b \partial_\sigma e^\sigma_c + e^\nu_b e^\sigma_c \partial_\sigma \frac{1}{det\, e}] + \\ &\quad + \frac{g^2}{det\, e}[e^\mu_c e^\nu_b B_\mu{}^{cf} B_{\nu f}{}^b + e^\nu_d e^\mu_{[b|} B_\mu{}^d{}_{|c]} B_\nu{}^{cb}] + \\ &\quad + \ total \ \ derivatives \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2R}{det\, e} &= \frac{2g}{det\, e} B_\nu{}^{bc} T_{cb}{}^{\ \nu} + 2gB_\nu{}^{bc} e^\nu_b G[e]_c + \\ &\quad + \frac{g^2}{det\, e}[e^\mu_c e^\nu_b B_\mu{}^{cf} B_{\nu f}{}^b + e^\nu_d e^\mu_{[b|} B_\mu{}^d{}_{|c]} B_\nu{}^{cb}] + \\ &\quad + \ total \ \ derivatives \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

with

$$G[e]_c = \frac{1}{det\, e} \partial_\sigma e^\sigma_c + e^\sigma_c \partial_\sigma \frac{1}{det\, e}. \tag{17}$$

In the gauge $G = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2R}{det\, e} &= \frac{2g}{det\, e} B_\nu{}^{bc} T_{cb}{}^{\ \nu} + \\ &\quad + \frac{g^2}{det\, e}[e^\mu_c e^\nu_b B_\mu{}^{cf} B_{\nu f}{}^b + e^\nu_d e^\mu_{[b|} B_\mu{}^d{}_{|c]} B_\nu{}^{cb}] + \\ &\quad + \ total \ \ derivatives. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

So, in the Ricci scalar, we haven't kinetical terms, except in a term proportional to "T" which can be removed (see the next section). The total derivatives can be neglected, because they don't modify the classical theory. We don't know the correct topological terms in the quantum theory, so we choice the simpler possibility.

IV. THE $f(T)$ FUNCTIONAL

We define $\hat{T}$

$$\hat{T}_{ab}{}^{\nu} = -\partial_{[\hat{a}}e^{\nu}_{b]} + gB_{[\hat{b}}{}^{\hat{\nu}}{}_{a]} \tag{19}$$

and

$$f(T) = \frac{1}{4g^2}[\hat{T}^{ab}{}_{\dot{\tau}} \triangle_M T_{ab}{}^{\tau}] - \frac{M_P^2}{16\pi}\frac{g}{det\, e}B_{\nu}{}^{ab}T_{ba}{}^{\nu} \tag{20}$$

$$\triangle_M = M^2 + \partial^{\dot{\mu}}\partial_{\mu}.$$

The aim of the last term is simply to eliminate the equal term, of opposite sign, contained in S_1. We expand

$$e = e^{g'f}$$

with f symmetric, and we consider f as our quantum field. In this way, the (20) gives a kinetic term for f and kinetic and mass terms for $B_{[\hat{c}a]b}$. We haven't the creation of mixed $B-f$ propagators. It is easy to see from $f(T)$ the natural mass dimensions of f and B

$$\begin{aligned} dim\ f &= [m]^0 \\ dim\ B &= [m]^1. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The kinetic term for f appears to be

$$K_f = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{g'}{g}\right)^2 f_{\dot{\tau}b} \triangle_M \partial_{\hat{a}}\partial^{\hat{a}} f^{\tau b} - f_{\dot{\tau}b} \triangle_M \partial^{\hat{b}}\partial_{\hat{a}} f^{\tau a}.$$

The gauge

$$G_b = \partial_\rho \frac{e^\rho_b}{det\, e} = \frac{1}{det\, e}\partial_\rho e^\rho_b + e^\rho_b \partial_\rho \frac{1}{det\, e} = 0$$

implies (thanks to the symmetry of f)

$$\partial_{\hat{a}} f^{\tau a} = \partial^{\dot{\tau}} f^{\hat{a}}_a + O(f^2).$$

So

$$K_f = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{g'}{g}\right)^2 [f_{\dot{\tau}b} \triangle_M \partial_{\hat{a}}\partial^{\hat{a}} f^{\tau b} - f^{\hat{b}}_b \triangle_M \partial_\tau \partial^{\dot{\tau}} f^{\hat{a}}_a].$$

We rename f the null-trace field, indicating with ϕ its trace

$$f^{\tau b} \to f^{\tau b} - \frac{1}{4} f^{\sigma a}\eta_{\sigma a}\eta^{\tau b} = f^{\tau b} - \frac{1}{4}\phi\, \eta^{\tau b}.$$

We obtain

$$K_f = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{g'}{g}\right)^2 [f_{\dot{\tau}b} \triangle_M \partial_{\hat{a}}\partial^{\hat{a}} f^{\tau b} + \frac{1}{4}\phi \triangle_M \partial_{\hat{a}}\partial^{\hat{a}}\phi - \phi \triangle_M \partial_\tau \partial^{\dot{\tau}}\phi]$$

$$K_f = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{g'}{g}\right)^2 [f_{\dot{\tau} b} \triangle_M \partial_{\hat{a}} \partial^{\hat{a}} f^{\tau b} - \frac{3}{4}\phi \triangle_M \partial_{\hat{a}} \partial^{\hat{a}} \phi].$$

So the propagator for f is

$$G_{ab}^{\mu\nu} = -2i\left(\frac{g}{g'}\right)^2 \frac{\eta_{ab}\eta^{\mu\nu}}{p^2(p^2 - M^2)}. \tag{22}$$

The well behavior of the propagator (for $p \to \infty$ it goes as p^{-4}) gives the theory good chances to be renormalizable. In a preliminary discussion, someone points out that

$$-\frac{M^2}{p^2(p^2 - M^2)} = \frac{1}{p^2} - \frac{1}{p^2 - M^2}$$

where the second negative terms would imply the existence of negative norm states. It is easy to see that the kinetic term, beyond the solution $p^2 = 0$, admits the solution $p^2 = M^2$. The negative norm states will be states containing massive "gravitons" of mass M. To overcome the problem, it is sufficient to impose

$$a_M|phis>=0$$

where a_M is the annihilation operator of the massive graviton and $|phis>$ is any physical state. We could require $M = 0$, but the presence of $M \neq 0$ is necessary for normalizing the states in the Fock space. This is because the commutator between the creation and annihilation operators of f is proportional to $(M^2 - p^2)^{-1}$ and it would diverge for $p = 0$ if M is null. The other terms generated by $f(T)$ contain zero, one or two fields B and never exceed the mass dimensions $[m]^4$. Some problems can follow from the incorrect sign in the kinetic term for ϕ. It implies that

$$[a_\phi^\dagger, a_\phi] = -1$$

where, the wrong commutator between the annihilation and creation operators for ϕ, can bring to negative norm states. The salvation is in the gauge condition: it implies that every physical state results from the iterative action of the operator

$$O = a_\phi - \frac{p_a}{p_\tau} a_f^{\tau a}$$

on the vacuum state. It is easy to see that, a so obtained state, is a positive norm state.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The total action is

$$
\begin{aligned}
S \;=\; & \frac{M_P^2}{32\pi}\frac{g^2}{det\,e}[e_c^{\mu}e_b^{\nu}B_{\mu}{}^{cf}B_{\nu f}{}^{b}+e_d^{\nu}e_{[b|}^{\mu}B_{\mu}{}^{d}{}_{|c]}B_{\nu}{}^{cb}]+\frac{1}{4g^2}[\hat{T}^{ab}{}_{\dot{\tau}}\triangle_M T_{ab}{}^{\tau}]+\\
& +2\Sigma^2\mu^{cd}{}_{\nu}T_{cd}{}^{\nu}+(\partial_{\mu}\mu^{cd}{}_{\nu})(\partial^{\dot{\mu}}\mu_{cd}{}^{\dot{\nu}}) \qquad (23)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider that

$$\frac{1}{det\,e}=det\,e^{-g'f}=e^{-g'tr\,f}=e^{-g'\phi} \qquad (24)$$

and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
S \;=\; & \frac{(gM_P)^2e^{-g'\phi}}{32\pi}[e_c^{\mu}e_b^{\nu}B_{\mu}{}^{cf}B_{\nu f}{}^{b}+e_d^{\nu}e_{[b|}^{\mu}B_{\mu}{}^{d}{}_{|c]}B_{\nu}{}^{cb}]+\frac{1}{4g^2}[\hat{T}^{ab}{}_{\dot{\tau}}\triangle_M T_{ab}{}^{\tau}]+\\
& +2\Sigma^2\mu^{cd}{}_{\nu}T_{cd}{}^{\nu}+(\partial_{\mu}\mu^{cd}{}_{\nu})(\partial^{\dot{\mu}}\mu_{cd}{}^{\dot{\nu}}). \qquad (25)
\end{aligned}
$$

In this action no term exceeds the dimensions $[m]^4$ and the loops containing fields f improve the UV-behavior of the amplitudes, thanks to the beautiful f-propagator. A perturbative expansion in terms of g and g' seems possible. We wait the opinions of the community before starting the necessary checks, including the Faddeev-Popov fields.

---

[1] A.Zee *Gravity and its Mysteries: Some Thoughts and Speculations, arXiv 0805.2183v2*

[2] Amelino-Camelia, G. *Quantum gravity phenomenology, In *Oriti, D. (ed.): Approaches to quantum gravity* 427-449*

[3] Lee, Jae-Weon and Kim, Hyeong-Chan and Lee, Jungjai *Gravity from Quantum Information, arXiv 1001.5445*

[4] Singh, T. P. *Singh, T. P., arXiv 1001.2642*

[5] Ali, Ahmed Farag and Das, Saurya and Vagenas, Elias C. *The Generalized Uncertainty Principle and Quantum Gravity Phenomenology, arXiv 1001.2642*

[6] Dzhunushaliev, V. and Serikbayev, N. and Myrzakulov, R. *Topology change in quantum gravity and Ricci flows, arXiv 0912.5326*

[7] Borowiec, A. and Gupta, Kumar S. and Meljanac, S. and Pachol, A. *Constarints on the quantum gravity scale from kappa - Minkowski spacetime, arXiv 0912.3299*

[8] Schroer, Bert *Localization and the interface between quantum mechanics, quantum field theory and quantum gravity I (The two antagonistic localizations and their asymptotic compatibility), arXiv 0912.2874*

[9] Oriti, Daniele *The group field theory approach to quantum gravity: some recent results, arXiv 0912.2441*

[10] Hossenfelder, Sabine and Smolin, Lee *Phenomenological Quantum Gravity, arXiv 0911.2761*

[11] J.Polchinski *Book - String Theory*

[12] Rovelli, Carlo *Book - Loop Quantum Gravity*